1	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP			
2	JULIA I. DE BEERS (SBN 233811) jdebeers@akingump.com			
3	2029 Century Park East Suite 2400			
4	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: 310-229-1000			
5	Facsimile: 310-229-1001			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY AMERICAS,			
7	LAW DEBENTURE TRUST COMPANY OF YORK, AND WILMINGTON TRUST COMP	NEW		
8	,			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
12	DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY			
13	AMERICAS, et al.	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>		
14	Plaintiffs,	Case No. CV 11-2634 JCS		
15	v.	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR RODOLFO V. GIL TO RESPOND TO		
16	FIRST REPUBLIC BANK, et al.	COMPLAINT (Local Rule 6-1(a))		
17	Defendants.			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
.u				

CV 11-2634 JCS

Stipulation to Extend Time for Rodolfo Gil to Respond to Complaint

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT RODOLFO V. GIL TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, Plaintiffs Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas in its capacity as successor indenture trustee for a certain series of Senior Notes ("DBTCA"), Law Debenture Trust Company of New York, in its capacity as successor indenture trustee for a certain series of Senior Notes ("Law Debenture"), and Wilmington Trust Company, in its capacity as successor indenture trustee for the PHONES Notes ("Wilmington Trust" and, together with DBTCA and Law Debenture, "Plaintiffs") by and through its counsel, and Defendant RODOLFO V. GIL hereby stipulate and agree that the time for Defendant RODOLFO V. GIL to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint is extended by sixty days from the date the response to the Complaint would have been due (i.e., 80 days after service of the Complaint, not counting the day it was received), unless the Court orders otherwise.

In accordance with N.D. Cal. General Order No. 45, Section X, the filer of this document hereby attests that the concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatory hereto. A scanned image of the signature page is attached hereto.

Dated: June 29, 2011

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

Ву____

/s/ Julia I. De Beers
Julia I. De Beers
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY AMERICAS LAW DEBENTURE TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK AND WILMINGTON TRUST COMPANY

Dated: June 29, 2011

Dated: June 30, 2011



/s/ Rodolfo V. Gil RODOLFO V. GIL Defendant

1	2
1	3

1	4

1	5	

Dated: 6/29

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR

DEFENDANT JINSERT NAME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, Plaintiffs Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas in its capacity as successor indenture trustee for a certain series of Senior Notes ("DBTCA"), Law Debenture Trust Company of New York, in its capacity as successor indenture trustee for a certain series of Senior Notes ("Law Debenture"), and Wilmington Trust Company, in its capacity as successor indenture trustee for the PHONES Notes ("Wilmington Trust" and, together with DBTCA and Law Debenture, "Plaintiffs") by and through its counsel, and Defendant RODOLFO V. GIL hereby stipulate and agree that the time for Defendant RODOLFO V. GIL to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint is extended by sixty days from the date the response to the Complaint would have been due (i.e., 80 days after service of the Complaint, not counting the day it was received), unless the Court orders otherwise.

In accordance with N.D. Cal. General Order No. 45, Section X, the filer of this document hereby attests that the concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatory hereto. A scanned image of the signature page is attached hereto.

Dated:	, 2011	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP	
		By Julia I. Dc Beers	
		Attorneys for Plaintiffs DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY AMERICAS	
		LAW DEBENTURE TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK AND WILMINGTON TRUST COMPANY	

RODOLFO V. GIL Defendant

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2400, Los Angeles, California 90067. On June 29, 2011, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: STĬPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR RODOLFO V. GĬL TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT (Local Rule 6-1(a)) on the interested party(ies) below, using the following means:

> Rodolfo V. Gil P.O. Box 9124 Calabasas, CA 913722

BY PERSONAL SERVICE I delivered such envelope(s) by hand to the offices of the addressee(s).		
BY UNITED STATES MAIL I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the respective address(es) of the party(ies) stated above and placed the envelope(s) for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California.		
BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY I enclosed the document(s) in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed to the respective address(es) of the party(ies) stated above. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier.		
BY MESSENGER SERVICE I served the documents by placing them in an envelope or package addressed to the respective address(es) of the party(ies) stated above and providing them to a professional messenger service for service.		
BY FAX Based on an agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the respective fax number(s) of the party(ies) as stated above. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. A copy of the record of the fax transmission(s), which I printed out, is attached.		
BY ELECTRONIC MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION. Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the document(s) to be sent to the respective e-mail address(es) of the party(ies) as stated above. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.		
(FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.		
Executed on June 29, 2011, at Los Angeles, California.		
Jennifer Fukai [Print Name of Person Executing Proof] [Signature]		